

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/707,865	11/07/2000	Bengt Ebbeson	30882US1	30882US1 1443	
116	7590 10/31	02			
	GORDON LLP	EXAMINER			
<b>SUITE 1200</b>	OR AVENUE EAS		ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER N		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER	
		<u> </u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				17

70	
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
ADVISORY ACTION	
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:	
a) will expire five months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).	
b)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for replonginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 10/4/03 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.	t
1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	
<ul> <li>a.          There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.     </li> </ul>	
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
_ "	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The professed amendment raises new issues.  Hhat would require further consideration and/or such.	_
	_
Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:	
Claim allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 1-7, /3-/4 and /6	_
However:	_
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	_ 
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlied presented.	er
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other	
Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1 53(d) (CPA)	

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

FORM PTOL 303 (REV. 2/98)